

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,692	02/15/2001	Zackery Alolabi		2716TC-045254	4535
38055	7590 11/19/2			EXAM	INER
TIM COOK			POND, ROBERT M		
P.O. BOX 10107 LIBERTY, TX 77575				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,				
				DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			09/784,692	ALOLABI, ZACKERY				
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
			Robert M. Pond	3625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
THE I Exte after If the If NO Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sire to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period w ly will, by statute,	i6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>15 Fe</u>	bruary 2001					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February</u> Applicant may not request that any objected the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the oath or declaration is objected the properties of th	2001 is/are ection to the c	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ ob frawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action (acknowledgment is made of a claim ance a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign latecknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is	documents documents of the priori onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic ed in the firs nguage prov	have been received. have been received in Applity documents have been received in Applity documents have been received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § t sentence of the specification has been priority under 35 U.S.C. §	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. In received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment	t(s)							
1) X Notice 2) X Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 34, 36, 38, and 40. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

3. Claims 1-2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are directed to a process that does nothing more than manipulate an abstract idea. Mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea There is no practical application in the technological arts. For subject matter to be statutory, the claimed process must be limited to a practical application of the abstract idea or mathematical algorithm in the technological arts. See *In re Alappat* 33 F.3d at 1543, 31 USPQ2d at 1556-57 (quoting *Diamond V. Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 192, 209 USPQ at 10). A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result: i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. *See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc.*, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2dat 1452.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3625

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robertson (patent number 6,609,106), in view of DM News (PTO-892, Item: U).

Robertson teaches a system, means, and method for providing an electronic multi-merchant gift registry service over a distributed network. Robertson teaches a registrant making preference selections for a "wish list" remotely being stored in a database, users making database queries, associating with an online flower merchant, and filling orders placed by remote users (see at least abstract; Fig. 1 (40, 50, 60, 70); col. 1, line 10 through col. 3, line 64).

Robertson teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and further teach registrants wishing to delay purchases to some future time or event (see at least col. 2, lines 58-60), but does not specifically disclose fulfilling orders over a period of time or predetermined time period. DM News teaches marthasflowers.com providing a fresh floral delivery service and further teaches a delivery program where flowers can be ordered once or a part of a monthly subscription series with 3-, 6-, and 12-month programs available, akin to Harry and David's original fruit-of-the-month club, the standard bearer for mail-order monthly subscription programs for perishables (Item: U, page 1). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of invention to modify the system, means, and method of Robertson to include interval-based delivery of perishable goods as taught by DM News, in order to maximize

Art Unit: 3625

customer usage and enjoyment at peak product freshness, and thereby attract registrants to the commerce service.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mr. Robert M. Pond** whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mr. Vincent Millin** can be reached on 703-308-1065.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9306 (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Robert M. Pond Patent Examiner

November 14, 2003